ವಂತೆಯೇ ತೆರಿಗೆಯೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಅದೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡೇ ತೆರಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸಿ. ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಈ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಈಗ ಕೊಡುವ ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ಕಡಮೆಯಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.— Section 99, Clause (1) of the Act, does not provide for the revision of the amount of compensation to these local bodies.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸಿ. ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಇದನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಕೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸಿ. ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಆದರೆ ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಸಾರಾಬಾದು ಕಾಂಗಾರಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈಗಿನ ಸರಾಸರಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Chitaldrug District—General).—Is the District Board Act binding on municipalities also ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—I want notice.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Have not the municipalities lost heavy income in the form of tolls ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—The income in this direction is on the increase. That is all I can say at present.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—If the income in this direction is increasing, should it not be made available to the several municipalities since the vehicles enter the municipalities ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—The amount is fixed as early as 1937, 1939, 1944 and so on. The request is reasonable. The matter may be considered.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it not fair that the amount payable should be revised once in three years ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—It is a matter of opinion.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What is the income that the Government have derived as on 1948-49 ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—Notice.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What is the income derived in the year 1932-33 when it was fixed ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—It was somewhat ess,

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Are not the Government deriving now ten times the income they were getting previously ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—It is on the increase, as I have already answered.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it not fair that the municipalities should have the right to levy toll on all vehicles entering their jurisdiction ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.— Government will consider the matter.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ರಂಗರಾಮಯ್ಯನವರು (ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ).—ಈಗ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 1937ರಿಂದ ತಾನೆ ಟೋಲ್ ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಅದನ್ನು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲೇ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ರಂಗರಾಮಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಈಚೆಗೆ ಸಿಟಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮಗೆ ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ರಂಗರಾಮಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಈಚೆಗೆ 3-4 ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ರಂಗರಾಮಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಈಚೆಗೆ ರಿವೈಜ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—What applies to other municipalities applies to this municipality also.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ರಂಗರಾಮಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಈಗ 1937ನೆಯ ಇನವಿಯಿಂದೀಚೆಗೆ ರಸ್ತೆ ಸಂಚಾರವು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—It is only a repetition. I have already answered that question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ರಂಗರಾಮಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಈಗಲಾದರೂ ರಿವೈಜ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಲ ಒದಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—Government will consider the matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Next question.

Enhancing the Salaries of Munsiffs.

Q.—46. **Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY** (Kolar District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the scale of salaries for the Munsiffs in the State Judiciary at present ;

(*b*) whether the High Court of Mysore has recommended enhancement of the same;

(*c*) whether they will enhance the salaries of the Munsiffs bringing the same into line with the salaries of the Assistant Commissioners in the Revenue Department?

A.—**Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Rs. 250—25—500.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) No.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—With reference to answer to (*b*), is it true that during the time of Mr. Justice P. Venkataramana Rao Naidu, the proposal for the revision of the salaries of Munsiffs had been submitted and His Highness also had approved of it?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Yes, it was on the recommendation of the ex-Chief Justice and also on the representation made by the Judicial Officers' Association that the question of revision of the salaries of Munsiffs was taken up and revision was actually effected by the Government.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—When the Government revised the salaries of the Munsiffs, did they consider that the salaries should be on a par with those of Assistant Commissioners of the Revenue Department?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—No, not that I am aware of.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—I will even give the date. Will the Government kindly ascertain whether it is true? The date is 27th November 1946.

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—What happened on that date?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—That is the date, I am told, when the Government considered that the salaries of Munsiffs should be on a par with those of Assistant Commissioners.

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I am not aware of it.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it true that though His Highness had approved of the revised scales of salaries of these Munsiffs, it was not brought to the notice of the Government, at the time they took charge, when the salaries of Assistant Commissioners were increased to Rs. 300?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Salaries of Assistant Commissioners were revised and salaries of Munsiffs also were revised.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it true that prior to 1906 the salaries of Munsiffs and Assistant Commissioners were the same?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I cannot apply myself to answering that.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it true that the Government have thought of transferring revenue and rent control cases to Munsiffs?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—How does that question arise out of this?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That question does not arise.

Government Properties in Possession of His Highness the Rajpramukh of Mysore.

Q.—51. **Sri T. SUBRAMANYA** (Tumkur District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the States Ministry of the Government of India had requested the Rajpramukh of Mysore to give a list of properties in his possession which are Government properties;

(*b*) whether any such list is being prepared;

(*c*) if so, whether they will place the list on the table of this House?

A.—**Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) and (*c*) Do not arise.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Is His Highness the Maharaja in possession of any Government properties?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—The whole thing is being examined. His Highness the Maharaja has given a list of the Palace properties and the whole subject is under consideration. Therefore, it is too early to say if His Highness is in possession of Government properties as such.